### PA. ANT COOPERATION TREATY

TH 282 16

### From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT MARSHALL GERSTEIN

То:	. • .				
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUNGEAGE VERY CONTROL OF THE	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF				
Attn. Baxendale, Scott E. NINKW RS	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND				
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6389 Vol Amon	A RCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION				
Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois 00606-6 5755 Foreign	Search				
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA LEGISS 4 21	05				
95/31/05	<i>7</i> ·				
	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
OUT THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O	Date i mailing				
DOUGETHO: FGT4	21/02/2005				
Applicant's or agent's file reference	ismatters				
29757/P-890 IGT1P208CWO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No.	International filing date				
PCT/US2004/030089	(day/month/year) 14/09/2004				
Applicant					
, <b></b>					
IGT					
1. X The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith					
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:					
The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims	s of the International Application (see Rule 46):				
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is norm	nally 2 months from the date of transmittal of the details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.				
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34	chemin des Colombettes				
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fas	· · ·				
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accon	npariying sneet.				
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the Int					
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	nal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:				
the protest together with the decision thereon has been applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest.	transmitted to the International Bureau together with the est and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.				
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the appl	· ·				
4. Reminders					
Shortly after the expiration of <b>18 months</b> from the priority date, the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone papplication, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bubefore the completion of the technical preparations for international	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international reau as provided in Rules 90 <i>bis</i> .1 and 90 <i>bis</i> .3, respectively,				
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.					
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.					
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of <b>30 months</b> months.	(or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19				
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the appli Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	icable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's				
Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority	Authorized officer				

Iveta Bujanska

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

### **NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220**

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

#### **INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19**

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international pbulication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

#### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

#### When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

### How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged:
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

### The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
   "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]:
  - "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

### "Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

### Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the International Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Rule 62.2(a), first sentence).

### Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide

### PA. ENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220			
29757/P-890	ACTION as we	ll as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US2004/030089	14/09/2004	15/09/2003			
Applicant					
IGT					
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Autansmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant			
This International Search Report consists	of a total of sheets.				
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cited in this	s report.			
	international search was carried out on the ba	asis of the international application in the			
The international this Authority (Ru		lation of the international application furnished to			
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed	in the international application, see Box No. I.			
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box II).				
3. Unity of invention is lace	king (see Box III).				
4. With regard to the <b>title</b> ,					
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.				
the text has been establis	hed by this Authority to read as follows:				
5. With regard to the abstract,					
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.				
the text has been establis may, within one month fro	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Author im the date of mailing of this international sea	rity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant rch report, submit comments to this Authority.			
6. With regard to the drawings,					
a. the figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be p	oublished with the abstract is Figure No. 1				
as suggested by					
	s Authority, because the applicant failed to su s Authority, because this figure better charact				
	e published with the abstract.	CILCO dio IIVOIIIOII.			
""					

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inten nal Application No PCT/US2004/030089

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 G07F17/32

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
X	WO 01/99067 A (INT GAME TECH) 27 December 2001 (2001-12-27) page 4, line 19 - page 5, line 8 page 5, line 15 - line 18 page 5, line 29 - page 6, line 11 page 6, line 21 - line 30 page 6, line 31 - page 7, line 7	18-27			
X	EP 1 343 125 A (WMS GAMING INC) 10 September 2003 (2003-09-10) abstract; figure 1 paragraphs '0007!, '0026!, '0028! - '0030!, '0032! - '0037!, '0043! - '0047!	18-27			
X	US 5 762 552 A (VUONG SON THANH ET AL) 9 June 1998 (1998-06-09) column 5, line 24 - column 6, line 28 abstract; figures 1-5b	18-27			

	-/
X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	χ Patent family members are listed in annex.
<ul> <li>Special categories of cited documents:</li> <li>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</li> <li>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</li> <li>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</li> <li>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</li> <li>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</li> <li>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</li> <li>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</li> <li>"&amp;" document member of the same patent family</li> </ul>
Date of the actual completion of the international search  3 December 2004	Date of mailing of the international search report $21/02/2005$
Name and mailing address of the ISA  European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk  Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  Fax: (+31–70) 340–3016	Authorized officer  Mennerun, S

1

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Interi nal Application No PCT/US2004/030089

C.(Continua	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	1017 0320047 030009		
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Х	US 2002/094869 A1 (HARKHAM GABI) 18 July 2002 (2002-07-18) paragraphs '0010! - '0015!, '0025! - '0027!	18-27		
A	paragraphs '0010! - '0015!, '0025! -	18-27		

1

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Informat. .. on patent family members

Inter. nal Application No
PCT/US2004/030089

	document earch report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 019	99067	Α	27-12-2001	AU EP WO	6814801 1292929 0199067	A2	02-01-2002 19-03-2003 27-12-2001
EP 134	43125	Α	10-09-2003	US CA EP	2003171149 2420270 1343125	A1	11-09-2003 06-09-2003 10-09-2003
US 576	 62552	Α	09-06-1998	NONE			
US 200	02094869	A1	18-07-2002	AU WO	7500001 0191866		11-12-2001 06-12-2001
US 509	 50880	Α	24-09-1991	NONE			

### P. ENT COOPERATION TREA

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:				PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	icant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHEI See paragraph 2 b			
	national application I F/US2004/03008		International filing date 14.09.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15.09.2003		
	7F17/32 icant	sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  □ Box No. I Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II Priority □ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
3.	written opinion of the applicant cholenternational Burwill not be so could be	nternational prefithe Internation coses an Autho ceau under Rule nsidered.  as provided ab EA a written rep date of mailing es later.  ns, see Form Pe	nal Preliminary Examininary other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written ove, considered to be a ly together, where approof Form PCT/ISA/220 or	ng Authority ("IPEA") o be the IPEA and to opinions of this Inter written opinion of the opriate, with amende	will usually be considered to be a ). However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notifed the mational Searching Authority  ne IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three on of 22 months from the priority date,		
Nam	ne and mailing addre	ss of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	, tyce Patenta.		

<u>a</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Mennerun, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7208



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/030089

	Вох	No	. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
		lan	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
	a. type of material:							
			a sequence listing					
		]	table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. fo	rma	at of material:					
			in written format					
	☐ in computer readable form							
	c. time of filing/furnishing:							
			contained in the international application as filed.					
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	Е		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.					
4.	Add	itior	nal comments:					

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1-27

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-27

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-27

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

### 1. Article 6 PCT:

The multiplicity of definitions of the invention given in independent claims 1, 8, 18, 25 is contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT. A European patent application may contain more than one independent claim in the same category only if the subject-matter of the application involves (a) a plurality of inter-related products (such as a plug and a socket), (b) different uses of a product, or © alternative solutions to a particular problem which cannot be covered by a single claim. None of these apply to the present application.

Moreover, the claim set should be formulated concisely. Further, a diversity of wording for defining one and the same invention should be avoided in order not to render the claims inconsistent with each other and thus unclear.

Consequently, in the present case, it is appropriate to use only one independent claim per category.

### 2. Article 33(2) PCT:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-0 199 067 (INT GAME TECH) 27 December 2001

D2: EP-A-1 343 125 (WMS GAMING INC) 10 September 2003

D3: US-A-5 762 552 (VUONG SON THANH ET AL) 9 June 1998

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of **claim 1**, and this document shows the following features thereof (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A gaming unit (Figures 1-7) for conducting a multi-player wagering game over a gaming network (Figures 1-7), the gaming unit comprising a plurality of gaming units

(Figures 1-7), comprising an input device (Figures 1-7) for inputting a plurality of input selections: a display device (Figures 1-7); a gaming controller (Figures 1-7) operatively coupled to the input device and the display device; and a network computer (Figures 1-7), said network computer comprising a memory device (Figures 1-7), a controller (Figures 1-7) operatively coupled to the network computer memory device and each of the gaming unit controllers.

Thus, all the above technical features are known from the available prior art and lack novelty under Article 33(2) PCT.

It has to be noted that D2 (see [0026]-[0036]) and D3 (Figures 1-3) also explicitly disclose the above claimed technical features, thereby attacking novelty under Article 33(2) PCT.

3. Article 33(3) and Rule 6.3 (a)-(c) PCT:

The remaining features of claims 18 and 25 are mere programming instructions of the gaming unit controller, said programming instructions being meant for conducting "a wagering game".

However, those features relate to the mere implementation of some game according to its rules. Hence, those features do not contribute to solving any technical problem within the meaning of Rule 6.3 (a)-(c) PCT and thus cannot be taken into consideration for assessing the presence of an inventive step under Article 33(3) PCT.

4. In summary, as far as the present application can be understood, the examiner is of the opinion that the subject-matter of the claims is merely the implementation of some game according to its rules and the representation of particular information. Furthermore, only well-known or generic. functional means, such as wheels, reels, indicia, speakers, standard gaming unit, various display devices, means to receive input from players, et cetera, are used to those ends.

Rules and methods for playing games and presentation of information are, taken

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/030089

alone, not regarded as inventions (Article 17(2)(a)(ii) and Rule 39(1)(iii)) and can neither lend any technical character to the claims nor contribute to the solution of any technical problem. The other commonplace technical features of the claims do not offer any solution to any technical problem, even in combination with each other or with the non-technical features of the claims.

Therefore, there is no technical problem to be solved (Rule 6.3 (a)-(c) PCT), so that no inventive step is involved in the subject-matter of the claims (Article 33(3) EPC).

Thus, it was not possible to find any subject-matter in the application which could be regarded as potentially allowable under the Articles and Rules of the PCT.